

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,249	02/03/2004	Hangjun Chen	436/7	2587	
27538	7590 05/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KAPLAN & GILMAN, L.L.P. 900 ROUTE 9 NORTH			LY, ANH VU H		
WOODBRIDGE, NJ 07095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2667		
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/771,249	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anh-Vu H. Ly	2667				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	December 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-14,16-22,24-30 and 32 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7,15,23 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration. rejected.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>April 20, 2005</u>. 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,249

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed December 09, 2004.
 Claims 1-32 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8-12, 16-20, 24-28, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tellado et al (US Patent No. 6,314,146 B1). Hereinafter, referred to as Tellado.

With respect to claims 1, 9, 17, and 25, Tellado discloses in Fig. 24 a transmitted OFDM clipped signal with maximum amplitudes of A and -A (db) (OFDM signal having been subject to a clipping function prior to transmission in order to reduce the peak to average power ratio). Tellado discloses (col. 27, line 40 – col. 28, line 57) that the receiver transforms the received distorted signal to provide the individual frequency domain components of the distorted signal (transforming a received OFDM signal from a transmission channel into the frequency domain). Tellado discloses in Fig. 29, a block diagram of a receiver for decoding the transmitted OFDM clipped signal (recovering data symbols from the transformed OFDM signal, which including clipping noise). The receiver decodes the individual frequency domain components of the received distorted signal to generate a first estimate of the original signal. Obvious, the first

Application/Control Number: 10/771,249

Art Unit: 2667

estimate of the original signal will contain errors due to the distortion (estimating the clipping noise in the frequency domain based on the data symbols). The first estimate of the distortion is extracted (clipping noise) and combined (subtracting algorithm performed) with the received signal (subtracting the estimated clipping noise from the transformed OFDM signal).

With respect to claims 2, 18, and 26, Tellado discloses (col. 31, lines 61-67) that as few as two iterations (repeating steps a-d more than one time in order to iteratively cancel the clipping noise) of the estimation process has shown to provide satisfactory symbol error rates for multi-carrier systems.

With respect to claims 3-4, 11-12, 19-20, and 27-28, Tellado discloses (col. 31, lines 61-67) that two to five iterations are sufficient to provide error rates in a multi-carrier signal (steps a-d are repeated only two and/or three times).

With respect to claim 10, Tellado discloses in Fig. 29, a block diagram of a receiver for decoding the transmitted OFDM clipped signal (wherein the receiver, the decoding unit, the noise estimator, and the difference circuit operate iteratively in order to cancel the clipping noise).

With respect to claims 8, 16, 24, and 32, Tellado discloses (col. 28, lines 38-43) that clipping is one particular type of distortion that has been found to satisfactorily reduce the PAR (peak to average ratio) of a signal. A signal may be clipped, which reduces the PAR of a signal, Art Unit: 2667

and the clipped portions of the signal discarded. The clipped portions may then be estimated by the received in an attempt to reconstruct the original signal (wherein clipping function is one of a deliberate clipping algorithm and a repeated clipping algorithm).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 5-6, 13-14, 21-22, and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tellado et al (US Patent No. 6,314,146 B1).

With respect to claims 5, 13, 21, and 29, Tellado discloses in Fig. 13, a receiver includes a FFT 302, a demodulator 304 (de-mapping the transformed OFDM signal), and a decoder 306 (de-coding the received signal). Tellado does not disclose de-interleaving the de-mapped signal, decoding the de-interleaved signal, interleaving the decoded signal, and mapping the interleaved signal to obtain the data symbols. However, techniques such as interleaving and de-interleaving are well known in the art to reduce the transmitted error rates. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include above stated features in Tellado's system, to reduce the transmitted error rates.

Art Unit: 2667

With respect to claims 6, 14, 22, and 30, Tellado discloses in Fig. 29 that the decoded symbol data outputted from the decoder 846 are fed to the IFFT 856 and distorter 858. Herein, the distorter 858 distorts the signal as same as the distorter 838 in the transmitter 830 (Fig. 28A) (subjecting the data symbols to substantially the same clipping function to which the OFDM signal had been subject to prior to transmission). FFT 860 produces C^(X(q), A) (attenuating the data symbols). C^(X(q), A) is then fed into the input of the decoder 846 (subtracting the attenuated data symbols from the clipped data symbols to obtain the estimated clipping noise).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7, 15, 23, and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed December 09, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 3 that the "combining" function disclosed in the Tellado reference is not a subtraction; rather, that combination is an addition. Thus, as can be clearly seen in Fig. 22, step 759 and Fig. 29 of the Tellado reference, the estimated distortion is added to the received signal to produce an estimate of the original, un-attenuated signal. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Tellado discloses (col. 28, lines 15-18) that the first estimate of the distortion is extracted and combined with the received signal. This combined signal is then

Page 6

Art Unit: 2667

decoded, which provides a next estimated of the original signal. Herein, the received signal is a distorted signal, therefore, combining a distorted signal with a distortion, will eliminate or reduce the distortion within the received signal. Therefore, combining technique is a subtraction.

Tellado discloses in Fig. 22, a flow chart of the operation of kernel engine of Fig. 12. Wherein, Fig. 12 illustrates block diagrams of the modulator and the kernel applicator of Fig. 11. And wherein, Fig. 11 illustrates a multi-carrier transmitter. This implies that the kernel engine of Fig. 22 is part of the transmitted system of Fig. 11. Further, Tellado discloses in Fig. 29, a block diagram of a receiver. Therefore, it is unclear the associated relationship of Fig. 22 and Fig. 29 as stated by application in page 3.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,249 Page 7

Art Unit: 2667

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh-Vu H. Ly whose telephone number is 571-272-3175. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

avl

CHI PHAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNIQLOGY CENTER SEC